

REMARKS

Claims 1-21 are pending in the present application. By this amendment, Applicants amend independent claims 1, 12, and 19. Further, in view of the following remarks, Applicants submit that all of the pending claims are in condition for allowance.

In the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 1-21 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by the Template Software product line (includes the SNAP programming language, the Workflow Template, and the Web Component). Applicants respectfully traverse these rejections.

In order to support a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b), each and every element as set forth in the claims must be found, either expressly or inherently described, in a single prior art reference. M.P.E.P. § 2131. Furthermore, “[t]he identical invention must be shown in as complete detail as is contained in the ... claim.” See M.P.E.P. § 2131, quoting *Richardson v. Suzuki Motor Co.*, 868 F.2d 1126, 1236, 9 U.S.P.Q.2d 1913, 1920 (Fed. Cir. 1989).

The Template Software product line fails to teach each and every element of claims 1, 12, and 19. Independent claims 1, 12, and 19 recite a combination including, *inter alia*, a method for defining file borders for development objects in a data model where the data model class includes “a controller class, associated with the component class, that associates a user interface to a business application model.” The Template Software product line fails to disclose at least this claim element.

Since the Template Software product line fails to teach each and every element of claims 1, 12, and 19, the rejection of claims 1, 12, and 19 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) is improper and must be withdrawn.

Since claims 1, 12 and 19 are allowable, based on at least the above reasons, the claims that depend on claims 1, 12 and 19 are likewise allowable. Thus, for at least this reason, dependent claims 2-11, 13-18, and 20-21, are allowable over the Template Software product line. Applicants therefore respectfully request that the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) be withdrawn and that claims 1-21 be allowed.


In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and reexamination of this application and the timely allowance of the pending claims.

Please grant any extensions of time required to enter this response and charge any additional required fees to our Deposit Account No. 06-0916.

Respectfully submitted,

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

Dated: June 8, 2007

By: 

Eli Mazour
Reg. No. 59,318